

REMARKS

The foregoing amendment and the following arguments are provided to impart precision to the claims, by more particularly pointing out the invention, rather than to avoid prior art.

Claims 1-26 are pending. No claims have been amended. No claims have been cancelled. Claim 27 has been added.

35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejections

The Examiner rejected claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,052,730 by Felciano (hereinafter “Felciano”). Applicants respectfully disagrees. Claim 1 sets forth the following

A method comprising:
a client receiving a content object over a network connection;
the client, prior to allowing the content object to be dynamically displayed, examining the content object and changing a portion of the content object to reflect information stored locally to the client; and displaying the content object changed based on the local information at the client. (emphasis added)

As set forth above, Claim 1 requires a client changing a portion of a content object to reflect information stored locally to the client and then displaying the content object based on the local information of the client. That is, the client changes a portion of the content, and how the content is displayed is based on the local information. Thus, the content object is displayed based on the local information.

Felciano, on the other hand, discloses a redirection scheme whereby URLs in an HTML document are changed to add a gateway URL so that further requests to such a document automatically go through a gateway server. The gateway server upon receiving the request for this modified document, obtains the same document (modified only with the addition of the gateway URL) from the server on behalf of the web browser. However, the document is displayed on the web browser based on its contents retrieval from the server. Although the

“modified” document is displayed, the display itself is not based on any local information in Felciano. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that the present invention as claimed is not anticipated by Felciano.

Similar limitations are found in the other independent claims and, therefore, for the same reasons, these claims are allowable as well.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102 have been overcome by the amendments and the remarks and withdrawal of these rejections is respectfully requested. Applicants submit that Claims 1-27 as amended are now in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited.

If there are any additional charges, please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any fee deficiency that may be due.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: 9/3/04

By: SM
Michael J. Mallie
Reg. No. 36,591

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025
(408) 720-8300